

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/630,232	07/30/2003	Apostolos Katefidis	OST-031125	8286		
22876 7:	590 09/11/2006		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
FACTOR & LAKE, LTD			MAYEKAR	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
1327 W. WASI SUITE 5G/H	HINGTON BLVD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO, IL	60607		1753			
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/630,23	32	KATEFIDIS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Kishor Ma	yekar	1753		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati r Reply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evilation. In period will apply and with the apply statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from flication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)☐	Since this application is in condition for a	☑ This action is nallowance except	for formal matters, pro		merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex paπe Qi</i>	iayie, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from co				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)⊠ accepto to the drawing(s) b correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/04.	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/630,232

Art Unit: 1753

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

1. The specification is objected because the headings introducing various paragraphs of the specification have been omitted.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.

Art Unit: 1753

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (9) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 2. Claims 5-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "characterised in that" is not conforming to standard U.S. practice and the phrase "characterised" is not an American-English usage. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 1753

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1753

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss et al. (US 5,114,554) in combination with Nonomura et al. (US 6,610,187 B2) and/or Gange (US 4,026,780). Voss' invention is directed to the removal of acid from cathodic electrocoating baths by electrodialysis in which electroconductive substrates are being coated with cationic resins. Howvever, Voss does not detail the structure of the cathodic electrodeposition apparatus and does not disclose the use of the anode as claimed. As the former, Nonomura shows in an electrodeposition coating apparatus the provision of a dip tank and a coating voltage source as claimed with the anodes arranged on a side wall and a bottom wall of the dip tank (paragraph crossing cols. 4 and 5). As to the latter, since Nonomura shows the dip tank being large into which the suspended vehicle body to be coated is completely dipped in a time not less than three minutes, the anodes comprising a plurality of plates surrounded the vehicle body to be coated, and the coating being formed on inside and outside of the vehicle body, it appears that Nonomura's anodes follow the outline of the of the vehicle body to be coated in approximately parallel manner. And to the anodes' curved surface, it would have been obvious absent persuasive evidence that the anode's curved surface is significant, In re Dailey 149 USPQ 47. Gange shows in an apparatus for cataphoretic deposition the

Art Unit: 1753

provision of an anode of a shape corresponding to an article to be coated (Fig. 3).

As such, this would result in producing a constant surface charge density, hence a uniform coating thickness.

- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss '554 in combination with Nonomura '187 and/or Gange '780 as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Akram et al. (US 6,132,570). The difference between the references as applied above and the instant claim is the provision of the recited material for the anode. Akram shows in apparatus for the electrodeposition of a coating on an article the provision of an anode of a sheet metal. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the references' teachings a suggested by Akram because the selection of any of known equivalent anode materials would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1753

(571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753